Director quoted as source in article on Oklahoma Supreme Court case



Heather L. Hintz primarily represents banks, commercial entities and municipalities in litigation in state and federal courts with an emphasis o n protecting hardfought rulings throughout the appeals process.

Phillips Murrah Director <u>Heather Hintz</u> defended her stance on Oklahoma State Question 777 in <u>an article published on NewsOK.com</u> on Wednesday.

Read Hintz's comments from the article below:

Attorneys for opponents of the ballot measure have filed an accelerated appeal in the case, in hopes the Oklahoma Supreme Court will take up the matter before a deadline in late August for the Oklahoma Election Board to print the November ballot, said Heather Hintz, an attorney for plaintiffs in the case.

"We are asking the Supreme Court to retain the appeal because it's a matter of public importance that has widespread public impact," Hintz said.

The plaintiffs have challenged the constitutionality of State Question 777 on several grounds, and argue that the measure is so blatantly unconstitutional that it would be a waste of state resources and misleading to voters, Hintz said.

"There is a strong Oklahoma policy that something that is facially unconstitutional should not go to the ballot because it's a waste of resources and it misleads voters," she said.

Read more at NewsOK.com.